

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

20.

MA 3316/2023 in MA 1234/2023 , 4868/2024 & 5353/2024
in OA 308/2017

Ex Sub Narendra Pal Singh

.....

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

.....

Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Manoj Kr Gupta & Ms. Prachi
Chaturvedi, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Arvind Patel, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE LT. GEN. C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
23.01.2026

MA No.3316/2023

Seeking execution of an order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.308/2017 dated 08.03.2017 in the matter of stepping up of pay of the applicant at par with his juniors and on the ground that his basic pay has not been fixed appropriately as per his entitlement in spite of direction of this Tribunal, this application was filed. This application is pending since long and various orders were issued from time to time. The officer from the office of PCDA(P), Prayagraj was summoned and on 26.03.2023, the PCDA(P) has submitted a detailed report indicating the manner in which the pay of the applicant has been fixed and after correcting the errors pointed out by the applicant, arrears of pension amounting to Rs.1,83,277/-, difference in the payment of

gratuity after adjustment and everything has been paid to the applicant.

2. Now, in compliance to the report submitted by the PCDA(P), Prayagraj, the applicant has filed an affidavit on 27.11.2025 and it is stated that a sum of Rs.46,000/- towards leave encashment has not been paid to the applicant. The issue of leave encashment was never before this Tribunal. The stepping up of pay has been granted to the applicant. In case leave encashment has not been granted, it was not a cause of action in the OA and, therefore, in the Execution Application we would not go into the said matter. In case the leave encashment has not been granted to the applicant as per his entitlement, he should represent to the competent authority and take steps for recovery of the same in accordance with law.

3. In the statement and documents submitted by the PCDA(P), Prayagraj on 26.03.2025, it is seen that after adjustment of the amounts to be paid to the applicant, the amount has been calculated.

4. That being so, in the execution application we shall not go into any further issue once the stepping up of pay along with arrears have been paid to the applicant. Apart from leave encashment, if any post retirement benefit is due to the applicant,

he may claim it in accordance with law by representing to the department and thereafter invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal in case he is still aggrieved.

5. In view of above, the MA stands disposed of accordingly.
All pending MAs also stand closed.



[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON



[LT. GEN. C.P. MOHANTY]
MEMBER (A)

/vb/